



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/660, 795 09/13/00	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
T 025182 MILLIPORE COPORATION 80 ASHBY RD BEDFORD MA 01730	IM31/1001	٦	EXAMINER POPOVICO, R
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			10/01/01

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/660, 795 Examiner	Applicants) Stankowski etal. Group Art Unit
	Popovi	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence address—
Period f r Reply	2 n D'	nys
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	DEXPIRE 50	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu 	ply within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status	/ .	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/6	0/0/	
☐ This action is FINAL.	l	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 		
Disposition of Claims		
1 2 1	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
		is/are objected to.
	·	
Application Papers		roquiomona
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is \Box approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. 	the priority documents h	ave been
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest 		
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap r N	o(s) 🗆 I	nterview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cit d, PTO-892		Notic of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-94	8 🗆 (Other
Office	Action Summary	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. _____

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4 and 12-21, drawn to a FILTER CARTRIDGE, classified in class 210, subclass 323.2.
 - II. Claims 5-11, drawn to a PROCESS FOR FILTERING A SLURRY, classified in class 210, subclass VARIOUS.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as, the removal of contaminants from a gas or vapor.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See the various slurries set forth in claims 7-11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 5-6 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/660,795

Art Unit: 1723

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Robert Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684, and

who can normally be reached at this number from 9:30 A.M. through 6:00 P.M. (EST) M-F.

rjp October 1, 2001 Robert James Popovics
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

Page 4